KOSKIE MINSKY LLP



20 QUEEN STREET WEST SUITE 900, BOX 52, TORONTO, ON M5H 3R3 Phone: 416-977-8353 Fax: 416-977-3316

KOSKIE
MINSKYLLP
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

FAX TRANSMISSION				
DATE:	January 12, 2012			
TO:		PHONE #	FAX#	
Registrar Supreme Co	ourt of Canada		613-996-9138	
Henry Brow Gowling La	wn fleur Henderson LLP		613-788-3433	
Ashley Tay Stikeman E	lor and David Byers lliott LLP		416-947-0866	
Darrell Bro Sack Goldb	own latt Mitchell LLP		416-591-7333	
Mark Baile	y		416-590-7070	
FSCO			416-590-7556	
Fred Myers Goodmans I			416-979-1234	
Harvey Chaiton Chaitons LLP			416-218-1849	
Hugh O'Reilly and Amanda Darrach Cavalluzzo, Hayes, Shilton, McIntyre & Cornish LLP			416-964-5895	
FROM:	Andrew J. Hatnay • Direct Phone 416-595-2083 Direct Fax 416-204-2872 • E-mail ahatnay@kmlaw.ca			
SUBJECT:	Indalex Limited Supreme Court File No. 34308			
FILE #:	11/2079			
Total No. of	Pages, including this page: 3			

THIS TELECOPY IS DIRECTED IN CONFIDENCE SOLELY TO THE PERSON NAMED ABOVE, AND MAY NOT OTHERWISE BE DISTRIBUTED, COPIED OR DISCLOSED. THE CONTENTS OF THIS TELECOPY MAY ALSO BE SUBJECTED TO SOLICITOR/CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND ALL RIGHTS TO THAT PRIVILEGE ARE EXPRESSLY CLAIMED AND NOT WAIVED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TELECOPY IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE

If there are problems with this transmission, please call Jenny Correia at (416) 595-2113.

For Review

Please Reply

ORIGINAL TRANSMISSION TO US BY MAIL OR DESTROY THE SAME, WITHOU'T MAKING A COPY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE.

Urgent



January 12, 2012

Via Facsimile

Andrew J. Hatnay Direct Dial:416-595-2083 Direct Fax:416-204-2872 ahatnay@kmlaw.ca

The Registrar Supreme Court of Canada 301 Wellington Street Ottawa, ON K1A 0J1

Attention: Michel Jobidon

Dear Sir:

Re: Indalex

Re: Supreme Court File No. 34308

Re: Motion by Sun Indalex, LLC to state constitutional questions

KOSKIE MINSKY LLP

We represent the Retirees who are Respondents in the above-noted appeal.

We write with respect to the motion by Sun Indalex to state constitutional questions. On January 6, 2012, we served and filed our Response to Sun Indalex's motion. We received a Reply from Sun Indalex on January 11, 2012.

Because the Reply raises new and incomplete information, we ask to be afforded a brief response and that this letter be provided to the Chief Justice or her designate deciding the motion.

Paragraph 2 of the Reply: Paragraph 2 of the Reply is missing information and is misleading. While a Notice of Constitutional Question was served by counsel to the Monitor prior to the hearing before the Ontario Court of Appeal, Sun Indalex fails to mention that the Attorney General of Canada responded that it would not participate in the appeal (see letter attached dated November 25, 2010). Counsel to the Monitor advised us that the Ontario Attorney General did not respond to the Notice at all. Neither the federal nor Ontario Attorneys General participated in the appeal below. The Notice is irrelevant. No constitutional issue was addressed in the courts below.

Paragraph 3 of the Reply: This paragraph misstates the state of jurisprudence where it states:

... While the specific issue of whether paramountcy was "invoked" in the Initial Order was not argued below, that is only because the concept of "invoking" paramountcy in the sense the Court of Appeal uses it was unknown in the jurisprudence prior to the Court of Appeal's decision and the Court of Appeal did not ask the parties to address it.



In addition to Sun Indalex's admission in this paragraph that paramountcy was not argued in the courts below, the obligation on a party who seeks to render a provincial law inoperative was not "unknown in the jurisprudence prior to the Court of Appeal decision". The principles were made clear by this Court in *Canadian Western Bank v. Alberta*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 3, paras. 69-75, (which was followed by the Ontario Court of Appeal in this case), as well as *GMAC v. T.C.T. Logistics Inc.*, [2006] 2 S.C.R. 123 (paras. 50-52) and *Crystalline Developments v. Domgroup Ltd*, [2004] 1 S.C.R. 60, (para. 43).

Paragraph 6 of the Reply: At paragraph 6, Sun-Indalex refers to its claim against Indalex in Canada. Again, information is missing. In the Monitor's 12th Report dated April 28, 2010 (paras. 23-29) it is reported that Sun Indalex filed a Proof of Claim regarding its secured claim on August 28, 2009 (the same day as the motion hearing by the Retirees and the USW before the CCAA Judge, which is subject to the appeal in this Court). The Monitor reports (at para. 23) that Sun Indalex's secured claim is based on "an alleged cross-guarantee from Indalex" and that the quantum of Sun Indalex's claim is "yet to be determined" (at para. 29, as well as in the Monitor's 14th Report dated January 20, 2011, para. 17).

Should you have any questions with respect to the above, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

KOSKIE MINSKY LLP

Andrew J. Hatnay

AJH:jc Enclosure

cc: Fred Myers - Goodmans LLP

Harvey G. Chaiton - Chaitons LLP

Ashley Taylor and David Byers - Stikeman Elliott LLP

Darrell Brown - Sack Goldblatt Mitchell LLP

Hugh O'Reilly and Amanda Darrach - Cavalluzzo Hayes Shilton McIntyre & Cornish LLP

Mark Bailey - Financial Services Commission of Ontario

Henry Brown, Q.C. - Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP

Demetrios Yiokaris -Koskie Minsky LLP

Clients



KOSKIE MINSKY LLP

Fax:416-977-3316

Jan 12 2012 04:44piii 2004/004 P.002/002

P Dopar

Department of Justice Canada

Ontario Regional Office The Exchange Tower 130 King St. West Suite 3400, Box 36 Toronto, Ontario MSX 1K6 Ministère de la Justice Canada

Bureau régional de l'Ontario la tour Exchange 130 rue King ouest Pièce 3400, CP 38 Toronto (Ontario) MSX 1K6 Tel: (416) 952-8673 Fex: (416) 952-0298

Emall: Gillian.Patterson@justice.gc.ca

Our File: Notre dossier:

2-450704

Your File: Votre dossier:

November 25, 2010

VIA FACSIMILE: 416-947-0866

Stikeman Elliot LLP Barristers & Solicitors 5300 Commerce Court West 199 Bay Street Toronto, ON M5L 1B9

Attention: Ashley John Taylor

Re: Keith Cararuthers, et al. v. Sun Indalex Finance, LCC et al

We acknowledge receipt of your Notice of Constitutional Question received on October 12, 2010, in the above-noted matter.

Please be advised that the Attorney General of Canada <u>will not be</u> intervening at this stage of the proceedings and <u>there is no need to serve this office with anything</u> further related to this matter at this stage.

However, please note the obligation imposed by s.109 of the Ontario Courts of Justice Act which prescribes that you must advise the Attorneys General of Canada and Ontario of any appeal or review of this matter in which the constitutional issue is raised.

Yours truly.

Gillian Patterson

Counsel

cc: Attorney General of Ontario, Constitutional Law Division, Fax: 416-326-4015